

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,929	KAIZUKA, MASAO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M. Kinead	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Arnold M. Kinead.

(2) Mr. L. Donovan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

double patenting issue; claims 10 and 14 minor typo

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

10/770,643

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Terminal Disclaimer filed and approved 02-06-07; (in claim 10 the dependency is corrected to read from "claim 9"; claim 14 line 2, "with" has been deleted)

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)